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Agenda

• Evolving regulatory requirements
• Red Flag Rules
• The Massachusetts ID theft law
• Similarities and differences
• Frameworks and programs
Evolving Regulatory Requirements

- Every year new regulations appear
- Current regulations are interpreted differently
  - Audit processes change
  - Enforcement changes
- Regulations tend to focus on specific information, risk, or mitigation
  - HIPAA – EPHI
  - Red Flag rules: identity theft detection
  - MA Law: identity theft prevention
  - PCI: prevention of payment card information theft
- Our job: recognize applicable regulations and come into compliance
Similarities & Differences

• Regulations have many similar requirements
  • Governance
  • Risk assessment
  • Evaluation of effectiveness
  • Management of service providers
  • Documentation
• This make adapting to new regulations easier
• The challenge is to identify requirements specific to a regulation and fold them into a framework
• We’ll look at two recent regulations for commonalities and differences
  • Red Flag Rules
  • MA Identity Theft Law
Red Flag Rules

- FTC administered
- Designed to detect attempts to steal identity
- Apply to creditors maintaining “covered accounts”
  - Banks
  - Finance companies
  - Automobile dealers
  - Mortgage brokers
  - Utility companies
  - Telecommunications companies
- Require processes to recognize specific types of events that may signal (raise red flags) attempts to steal identity
  - Suspicious address changes
  - Activities on known stolen identities
  - Suspicious activities on accounts (inactive accounts)
  - Suspicious documents
Covered Accounts

- The Red Flag Rules apply to institutions that maintain “covered accounts”
- Definition: (1) an account primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, that involves or is designed to permit multiple payments or transactions, or (2) any other account for which there is a reasonably foreseeable risk to customers or the safety and soundness of the financial institution or creditor from identity theft.
- In other words, both individuals and companies
RFR: Required Elements

- Maintain a written Program
- Identify relevant Red Flags for covered accounts and incorporate those Red Flags into the Program
- Detect Red Flags that have been incorporated into the Program
- Respond appropriately to any Red Flags that are detected to prevent and mitigate identity theft
- Ensure the Program is updated periodically, to reflect changes in risks to customers or to the safety and soundness of the financial institution or creditor from identity theft
RFR: Program Requirements

- Acquire BoD approval ensuring oversight of the development, implementation and administration of the Program
- Conduct risk assessments to identify whether the institution holds covered accounts
- Train staff
  - Ensure that staff knows requirements of program and can execute responsibilities competently
- Oversee service providers
  - Ensure that service providers have good identity theft prevention practices
  - Require service providers to recognize and respond to red flags
RFR: Good News and Bad News

• Most financial institutions have these mechanisms in place

• Challenges:
  • Documentation
  • Governance
  • Specific requirements of service providers
MA ID Theft Law

- 201 CMR 17 is a regulation protecting information personal identifying information of Massachusetts residents
- Requires
  - Administrative controls
  - Written Information Security Program
  - Governance
  - Processes to assess risk, respond to incidents, maintain vulnerability
  - Encryption
  - Control of service providers
- Applies to **persons or organizations** that “own, license, store or maintain personal information about a resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts”
MA Law: Personal Information

- First name and last name or first initial and last name in combination with any one or more of:
  - Social Security number
  - Driver's license number
  - State-issued identification card number
  - Financial account number
  - Credit or debit card number
- With or without any required security code, access code, personal identification number or password, that would permit access to a resident’s financial account
MA Law Governance

- Organizations need to appoint a responsible party to run the program
- Organizations need to have a written program
  - Policies
  - Controls in place, processes and technology
  - Lifecycle: Plan, Do, Check, Act
MA Law: Administrative Controls

- A method for identifying, assessing, and treating risks
- A method for improving effectiveness of security controls
- A policy and procedure for disciplinary action in the event of policy infringement
- A reliable method of terminating access when employees leave or are fired
- A methodology to verify that third party service providers will take adequate steps to secure the personal information entrusted to them
- A practice of limiting the collection and storage of personal information to what is required
- Training program
MA Law: Technical Controls

- Vulnerability management
- Encryption of portable devices / laptops
- Encryption of all records transmitted over the untrusted networks
- Access controls
- Lockout after failed authentication
- Internet firewalls
- Monitoring of access
MA Law: Good News & Bad News

- MA Law controls are consistent with HIPAA and ISO 27000
- A good security/compliance program would have these controls in place
- Challenges:
  - Unbounded applicability – all organizations and their service providers are responsible for compliance directly
  - WISP structure? A document that describes the program or all the documentation of the program
How To Comply

• Identify the affected information
• Understand the compliance requirements specific to the regulation
• Fold the specific requirements into the various sections of your existing program
• If you don’t have a centralized compliance program, implement one
Compliance Program & Frameworks

- ISO 27001&2 provide an excellent framework for compliance
  - 27001 provides the lifecycle process
  - 27002 provides the list of potential controls
- Model is based on information and risk
  - Identify assets (identity info for RFR and MA Law)
  - Assess risks in your environment
  - Choose and implement controls (as you determine and as regulations dictate)
  - Monitor effectiveness of control
  - Respond to problems and improve system/program
- This approach will fulfill the needs of all regulations and contracts
  - PCI, HIPAA, GLB, etc.
Summary

- Two recent regulations focus on identity theft
  - RFR focus on processes to detect and prevent
  - MA Law focuses on administrative and technical controls
- Both require:
  - Governance
  - Risk assessment
  - Documentation
  - Lifecycle
- A good framework-based compliance program will allow you to adapt to regulations like this and others as they appear