| 1 2 3 | BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-7300 | BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP GEOFFREY M. HOWARD THOMAS S. HIXSON KRISTEN A. PALUMBO Three Embarcadero Center |
|-------------|--|---|
| 5 | Facsimile: (702) 382-7500 | San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 |
| 4 | rpocker@bsfllp.com | Telephone: 415.393.2000 |
| - | | Facsimile: 415.393.2286 |
| 5 | BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP | geoff.howard@bingham.com |
| _ | STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN | thomas.hixson@bingham.com |
| 6 | FRED NORTON | kristen.palumbo@bingham.com |
| 7 | KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG | (pro hac vice applications to be submitted) |
| 7 | 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612 | DEBORAH K. MILLER |
| 8 | Telephone: (510) 874-1000 | JAMES C. MAROULIS |
| U | Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 | ORACLE CORPORATION |
| 9 | sholtzman@bsfllp.com | 500 Oracle Parkway |
| | fnorton@bsfllp.com | M/S 5op7 |
| 10 | kringgenberg@bsfllp.com | Redwood City, CA 94070 |
| | (pro hac vice applications to be submitted) | Telephone: 650.506.4846 |
| 11 | Attomatic for Disintiffs Oreals USA Inc. and | Facsimile: 650.506.7114 |
| 12 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. and Oracle International Corp. | deborah.miller@oracle.com jim.maroulis@oracle.com |
| 14 | oracle international corp. | (pro hac vice applications to be submitted) |
| 13 | | (pro nue rice apprications to be submitted) |
| 14 | UNITED STATES I | DISTRICT COURT |
| 15 | DISTRICT O | FNEVADA |
| 16 | ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; | Case No. 2:10-cv-106 |
| 17 | and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL | |
| 1/ | CORPORATION, a California corporation, | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND |
| 18 | | INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: |
| | Plaintiffs, | |
| 19 | V. | (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL |
| • | RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; | (2) VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE |
| 20 | SETH RAVIN, an individual, | ACT; |
| 21 | | (3) VIOLATIONS OF THE |
| 21 | Defendants. | COMPUTER DATA ACCESS AND |
| 22 | | FRAUD ACT; |
| | | (4) VIOLATIONS OF NRS 205.4765; |
| 23 | | (5) BREACH OF CONTRACT; |
| | | (6) INDUCING BREACH OF |
| 24 | | CONTRACT (7) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE |
| 75 | | WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC |
| 25 | | ADVANTAGE; |
| 26 | | (8) NEGLIGENT INTERFERENCE |
| -0 | | WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC |
| 27 | | ADVANTAGE; |
| | | (9) UNFAIR COMPETITION; (10) TRESPASS TO CHATTELS: |
| 28 | | (10) TRESPASS TO CHATTELS; |

| 1 | (11) UNJUST ENRICHMENT / RESTITUTION; |
|----|---|
| 2 | (12) UNFAIR PRACTICES; and (13) AN ACCOUNTING. |
| 3 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. ("Oracle USA") and Oracle International Corporation |
| 6 | ("OIC") (together "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") for their Complaint against Defendants Rimini Street, |
| 7 | Inc. and Seth Ravin, allege as follows based on their personal knowledge as for themselves, and |
| 8 | on information and belief as to the acts of others: |
| 9 | I. JURISDICTION |
| 10 | 1. Oracle's first cause of action arises under the Federal Copyright Act, 17 |
| 11 | U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., and its second cause of action arises under the Computer Fraud and Abuse |
| 12 | Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030 et seq. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this |
| 13 | action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1338. |
| 14 | 2. This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over the pendent |
| 15 | state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367, because these claims are so related to Oracle's claims |
| 16 | under federal law that they form part of the same case or controversy and derive from a common |
| 17 | nucleus of operative facts. |
| 18 | 3. This Court also has original subject matter jurisdiction over the state law |
| 19 | claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is a complete diversity of citizenship between the |
| 20 | Plaintiffs and the Defendants, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. |
| 21 | II. INTRODUCTION |
| 22 | 4. <i>"The key is you have to be authorizedEither you're authorized or</i> |
| 23 | you're not." (Seth Ravin, commenting on Oracle's 2007 lawsuit against SAP for illegally |
| 24 | downloading Oracle's intellectual property). |
| 25 | 5. This case is about the massive theft of Oracle's software and related |
| 26 | support materials through an illegal business model by Defendant Rimini Street and its CEO and |
| 27 | President, Defendant Seth Ravin. Rimini Street holds itself out as a support provider to |
| 28 | companies that license certain of Oracle's enterprise software applications, including its A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 2 |

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 3 of 42

1 PeopleSoft, J.D. Edwards ("JDE") and Siebel-branded software. Central to Rimini Street's business model is the illegal downloading of Oracle's Software and Support Materials¹ in a 2 3 scheme that is vast in scope, consisting of many thousands of Software and Support Materials. 4 Rimini Street typically logs on to Oracle's password protected Technical Support websites using 5 a customer credential, then downloads Software and Support Materials in excess of the 6 customer's authorization under its license agreement. Sometimes Rimini Street will download 7 hundreds or even thousands of Software and Support Materials at a time, relating to entire 8 families of software (e.g., PeopleSoft, JDE, or Siebel) that the customer does not license and for 9 which it has no use. 10 6. Rimini Street automates its massive downloading with "robots" or 11 "crawlers," in intentional violation of Oracle's Technical Support website Terms of Use. These 12 intrusions have damaged Oracle's support services by causing the databases which host the 13 Software and Support Materials to freeze, disrupting their operation and impeding the availability of lawful downloads to Oracle's other customers. 14 15 7. Ravin has admitted that downloads in excess of the customer's 16 authorization are improper. In an interview he explained that "It is very common for [a 17 customer] to provide a password and ID for us to get to download upgrades and support. It's a 18 standard industry practice across every consulting firm. The key is you have to be authorized." 19 (emphasis supplied). Ravin emphasized that "[y]ou need to be very careful about parsing 20 documents – whether you take 20 or hundreds. *Either you're authorized or you're not.*" 21 (emphasis supplied). 22 8. Ravin's admission that Rimini Street may not download Oracle Software 23 and Support Materials for which the customer lacks authorization is correct. His description of 24 Rimini Street's business practices is false. Rimini Street's massive, illegal downloads of Oracle 25 These copyrighted materials, which include software applications and environments, 26

28

program updates, software updates, bug fixes, patches, custom solutions, and instructional
 documents across the entire PeopleSoft, J.D. Edwards and Siebel families of software products, are referred to throughout as "Software and Support Materials."

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 4 of 42

Software and Support Materials violates Rimini Street's contracts with its customers, their
 licenses with Oracle, the Terms of Use, and civil and criminal laws.

3 9. Rimini Street's business model includes more than just illegal 4 downloading. Ravin has caused Rimini Street to acquire copies of its customers' licensed Oracle 5 enterprise applications software. In the course of its business, Rimini Street makes additional 6 illegal copies of this software, and uses it in various illegal ways to provide its low-cost support. 10. 7 This illegal business model is not new for Ravin. He helped create this 8 illegal scheme at his prior company, TomorrowNow ("SAP TN"), with his partner, Andrew 9 Nelson. Under this business model, SAP TN gained repeated and unauthorized access to 10 Oracle's intellectual property. It made and used thousands of copies of Oracle's copyrighted 11 software applications and relied on illegal downloading from Oracle websites, using custom 12 programmed "scraping" tools designed to "scrape" Oracle's website for bug fixes, patches, 13 updates and instruction manuals. 14 11. Ravin and Nelson sold SAP TN to the German software conglomerate 15 SAP AG, and Ravin soon left to later found Rimini Street. SAP AG publicly admitted that SAP 16 TN improperly copied Oracle Software and Support Materials, and in October 2008 shut down in 17 SAP TN October 2008 having concluded that it could not provide support services without 18 infringing on Oracle's intellectual property rights. 19 12. Ravin has admitted that Rimini Street mimics and expands the SAP TN 20 model: "Our [Rimini Street's] basic model for TomorrowNow customers is that you're going to 21 get the same kind of savings" because "[w]hat we're offering is on top of what they're used to, 22 which is the vanilla offering that I actually assembled – because it hasn't changed much from 23 what I put together at TomorrowNow several years ago when we were launching the company." 24 13. Rimini Street's marketing literature emphasizes how little difference

- 25 customers would notice from SAP TN's service offering, stating that converting is as "Easy as 1-
- **26** 2-3." For example, Rimini Street stated that tax and regulatory updates to Oracle software

applications "are packaged the same as Client is used to receiving previously from PeopleSoft

28 Corporation and then TomorrowNow, Inc. There is no difference in how Rimini Street tax and A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 4

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 5 of 42

1 regulatory updates are installed." Oracle, of course, owned the intellectual property rights to the 2 software SAP TN copied and used to create its illegal updates. Ravin and Rimini Street knew 3 that and capitalized on it by copying the model and boasting about the similarity in services. 4 14. The corrupt business model Ravin helped to create continues in full force 5 at Rimini Street. Oracle brings this lawsuit to stop it once and for all, to stop Rimini Street's 6 illegal activity and redress the harm that Rimini Street has caused by its illegal conduct. Rimini 7 Street's copyright infringement and other illegal, wrongful, and unfair business practices threaten 8 to cause irreparable harm to Oracle, its many employees, customers, shareholders and the 9 industry at large. Oracle has no adequate remedy at law for the harm threatened and caused by 10 these acts.. 11 III. THE PARTIES 12 15. Oracle USA is a Colorado corporation, with its principal place of business 13 in Redwood City, California. Oracle USA develops and licenses certain intellectual property, 14 including copyrighted enterprise software programs, and provides related services. Oracle USA 15 is the successor to PeopleSoft USA, Inc. ("PeopleSoft") and a successor in interest to certain 16 PeopleSoft, JDE, and Siebel entities. 17 16. OIC is a California corporation, with its only place of business in 18 Redwood City, California. OIC owns and licenses certain intellectual property, including 19 copyrighted enterprise software programs used around the world. Intellectual property rights 20 formerly held by certain PeopleSoft, JDE, and Siebel entities were transferred to OIC as part of 21 the acquisitions of PeopleSoft and Siebel by Oracle. OIC is the owner or exclusive licensee of the copyrights at issue in this action. 22 23 17. Seth Ravin is the founder, president and CEO of Rimini Street and the 24 former President of SAP TN. He is a resident of Nevada. 25 18. Rimini Street, Inc., is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of 26 business in Las Vegas, Nevada. Ravin founded and controls Rimini Street. 27 19. Oracle is currently unaware of the true names and capacities of Does 1 through 50, inclusive, whether individual, partnership, corporation, unincorporated association, 28 A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 5

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 6 of 42

or otherwise. Due to the surreptitious nature of Defendants' actions, and the complicated nature
 of their scheme, the identities of the Does 1 through 50 have been concealed from Oracle,
 preventing Oracle from identifying them by name. After discovery, which is necessary to
 ascertain the true names and capacities of Does 1 through 50, Oracle will amend its complaint to
 allege the necessary identifying details.

6 20. Defendants all are doing business in and have directed their activities at
7 Nevada. Rimini Street is headquartered in this district, and Ravin resides in this district. Rimini
8 Street committed its illegal downloading in Nevada, and provided illegal copies of Oracle
9 Software and Support Materials from, among other places, Nevada. Rimini Street also
10 advertises, promotes, sells, licenses, services, and supports customers in Nevada. Defendants
11 have also committed their unlawful conduct in other states.

12 21. At all material times, through his ownership of Rimini Street and his role
13 as CEO and President, Seth Ravin had both the right and the authority to control the actions of
14 the corporation.

15 22. At all material times, each of the Defendants, as well as Does 1 through
50, was the agent, servant, employee, partner, joint venturer, representative, subsidiary, parent,
affiliate, alter ego, or co-conspirator of the others, had full knowledge of and gave substantial
assistance to the alleged activities, and in doing the things alleged, each was acting within the
scope of such agency, service, employment, partnership, joint venture, representation, affiliation,
or conspiracy, and each is legally responsible for the acts and omissions of the others.

21 IV. VENUE

22 23. Venue in this district is appropriate, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because
23 Defendants Rimini Street and Ravin reside in this district and because a substantial part of the
24 events giving rise to the dispute occurred in this district, a substantial part of the property that is
25 the subject of the action was and is situated in this district, and the Court has personal
26 jurisdiction over each of the defendants as alleged throughout this Complaint.

27 V. DIVISION ASSIGNMENT

28 24. Assignment to the Las Vegas division is proper under Civil Local Rule IA A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 6

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 7 of 42

8-1(a), because this action arises, in part, in Las Vegas, where Rimini Street is headquartered and
 Ravin resides and where, among other places, both engaged in their unlawful conduct.

3 VI.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

4

A. Oracle's Software And Support Materials

5 25. Oracle is the world's largest enterprise software company, and the first to
6 receive J.D. Power & Associates' global certification for outstanding service and support based
7 on measuring customer satisfaction worldwide. Oracle develops, manufactures, markets,
8 distributes, and services software designed to help its customers manage and grow their business
9 operations. Oracle's enterprise software and technology offerings include database, middleware,
10 and applications software programs.

11 26. As is typical in the enterprise software industry, Oracle does not sell 12 ownership rights to its software or related support products to its customers. Instead, Oracle's 13 customers purchase licenses that grant them limited rights to use specific Oracle software 14 programs, with Oracle retaining all copyright and other intellectual property rights in these 15 works. In addition, licensed customers can, and typically do, purchase some set of technical 16 support services. Those services include upgraded products such as updates, bug fixes, or 17 patches to the software programs the customers have expressly licensed from Oracle and have 18 the right to use for purposes authorized by Oracle.

19 27. Oracle's license agreements with its customers may vary according to the 20 products licensed, including because the customers originally contracted with PeopleSoft, Siebel, 21 and/or JDE, but all of the relevant license agreements for what is now Oracle software set 22 comparable rules for access to, and reproduction, distribution, and use of, that software. Among 23 other things, those rules prohibit access to, or reproduction, distribution, or use of, any portion of 24 the software not expressly licensed to and paid for by the licensee, and any sublicense, 25 disclosure, use, rent, or lease of the software to third parties. The licenses, with a few exceptions 26 that are not relevant here, also restrict where the customer physically may install the software, to 27 whom it may provide copies, and the purposes for which it may make those copies. These 28 licensing restrictions are important to protect Oracle's substantial investment in the development

A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130

7

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 8 of 42

of its software. They also help to make worthwhile Oracle's continuous enhancement of its
 products for the benefit of its customers, which requires significant investment in research and
 development.

4 28. Oracle's license agreements define Oracle's confidential information to 5 include, without limitation, Oracle's software, its object and source code, and any associated 6 documentation or service offerings. In certain instances, licensees may designate third parties to 7 help maintain Oracle's software, but only subject to the terms of the relevant license agreement 8 between the licensee and Oracle. With a few exceptions that are not relevant here, those 9 agreements generally preclude the third party from installing the software on an offsite server, or 10 accessing the source code of the software. The license agreements prohibit the licensee or any 11 third party from using the software offsite without notice to Oracle, prohibit disclosure to third 12 parties, and prohibit any use other than by the customer for production, backup, archival and in-13 house disaster recovery purposes. As defined in one illustrative license agreement, "software" 14 specifically includes the update products made available to customers as part of the support 15 contracts that customers purchased from Oracle. 16 29. Through its Terms of Use, Oracle also restricts access to the Technical 17 Support websites used by Oracle customers and/or their authorized agents to access and 18 download JDE, Siebel and PeopleSoft Software and Support Materials licensed to Oracle 19 customers. For example, the Terms of Use on Oracle's Metalink 3 website – which related to 20 Oracle's PeopleSoft, JDE and Siebel software – stated: 21 You agree that access to Metalink . . . will be granted only to your designated Oracle technical support contacts and that the Materials 22 [on the support website] may be used solely in support of your authorized use of the Oracle programs for which you hold a 23 supported license from Oracle. Unless specifically provided in your licensing or distribution agreement with Oracle, the Materials 24 may not be used to provide services for or to third parties and may not be shared with or accessed by third parties. 25 30. The Metalink 3 Terms of Use explicitly described the confidential nature 26 of the material on the Technical Support website: "the information contained in the Materials 27 [available through the website] is the confidential proprietary information of Oracle. You may 28 A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 8

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 9 of 42

| 1 | not use, disclose, reproduce, transmit, or otherwise copy in any form or by any means the | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | information contained in the Materials for any purpose, other than to support your authorized | | |
| 3 | use of the Oracle Programs for which you hold a supported license from Oracle, without the | | |
| 4 | prior written permission of Oracle." (emphasis supplied). | | |
| 5 | 31. The Metalink 3 Terms of Use also prohibited the use of automated | | |
| 6 | downloads, including through robots, or other use of the Technical Support website that | | |
| 7 | overburdens it: | | |
| 8 | You agree that you will not access or use Metalink in any manner | | |
| 9 | that could damage, disable, overburden, or impair, or otherwise result in unauthorized access to or interference with, the proper | | |
| 10 | functioning of any Oracle accounts, computer systems or networks. For example, you may not use any software routines commonly | | |
| 11 | known as robots, spiders, scrapers, or other automated means, to access Metalink or any Oracle accounts, systems, or networks. | | |
| 12 | (emphasis supplied). | | |
| 13 | 32. In addition, access to Oracle's Metalink 3 and My Oracle Support | | |
| 14 | websites – which provided access to Software and Support Materials for Oracle's PeopleSoft, | | |
| 15 | JDE and Siebel software – was governed by the Oracle web site's Terms of Use governing | | |
| 16 | access to, downloading of, copying of and further use or distribution of support materials. These | | |
| 17 | Terms of Use stated: "By accessing or using the Site or the Content provided on or through the | | |
| 18 | Site, you agree to follow and be bound by the following terms and conditions concerning your | | |
| 19 | access to and use of the Site and the Content provided on or through the Site ('Terms of Use') | | |
| 20 | These Terms of Use prohibited users from downloading, storing, viewing or printing the | | |
| 21 | materials made available on that website or available for download through the Site other than | | |
| 22 | "solely for personal, informational, non-commercial purposes." They also prohibited the user | | |
| 23 | from modifying or altering those materials "in any way" and prohibited redistribution. The | | |
| 24 | Oracle web site's Terms of Use further stated: "Your use of software is subject to all agreements | | |
| 25 | such as a license agreement or user agreement that accompanies or is included with the Software, | | |
| 26 | ordering documents, exhibits, and other terms and conditions that apply " | | |
| 27 | ordering documents, exhibits, and other terms and conditions that apply | | |

A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130

28

1

B. Rimini Street's Business Model – "Anything that sounds too good to be true probably is."

2 33. In the world of enterprise software applications, revenue comes from three
3 basic activities: (a) licenses of the underlying software applications; (b) consulting relating to
4 the implementation and operation of the software; and, (c) support contracts to keep the software
5 updated and upgraded.

6 7

34. Rimini Street provides support services to customers who use Oracle software, including its JDE, Siebel and PeopleSoft families of applications.

8 35. Rimini Street claims to compete with Oracle by providing low-cost 9 maintenance and support services to PeopleSoft, JDE and Siebel customers running assorted 10 versions of these software programs. Rimini Street claims that it can cut customer maintenance 11 and support bills in half and give customers a reprieve from software upgrade cycles by allowing 12 customers to remain on older, often outdated, versions of PeopleSoft, JDE or Siebel software 13 rather than moving to later versions, and by eliminating fees for fixes and upgrades that 14 customers would otherwise have to pay to remain on the older versions. Rimini Street states that 15 it can provide such fixes and updates and thereby support outdated software for 10 years past its 16 general availability without additional cost to customers.

17 36. In addition to those services, Rimini Street offers "customization fixes,"
18 "tax and regulatory updates," "applications and repository fixes," and, most remarkably, "24/7
19 Support with Guaranteed 30 Minutes or less Response" on software programs for which it has no
20 intellectual property rights. Rimini Street claims to offer this comprehensive support at "More
21 Than 50% Annual Cost Savings."

22 37. Rimini Street does not have the development capability to meet the
23 support commitments it advertises at any price, much less the 50% discount it promotes. It
24 certainly has not matched Oracle's investment in development resources, or even come close to
25 it.

26 38. Rimini Street has also offered to provide annual maintenance service for
 27 customers using PeopleSoft, JDE or Siebel software for \$100.00 for two years. In the third year,
 28 A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 10

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 11 of 42

Rimini Street raises the price, but to only 50% of what SAP TN charged in the third year of its
 own illegal downloading scheme. Rimini Street stated that the "\$100.00 covers the complete
 program of tax updates" and "the same service" that the customer has "been getting from
 TomorrowNow." One of Rimini Street's customers characterized this as "an awesome deal,"
 while noting that "anything that sounds too good to be true probably is."

6

C.

Rimini Street's Theft By Downloading

7 39. In and after November 2008, and continuing in 2009, there occurred 8 unusually heavy download activity on Oracle's password-protected Technical Support website. 9 That website permits licensed Oracle customers with active support agreements to download a 10 wide array of Software and Support Materials. Oracle has invested billions of dollars in 11 research, development, and engineering to create these materials. Customers who have 12 contracted for support with Oracle have log-in credentials to access the Technical Support 13 website and download Software and Support Materials. However, Oracle's support contracts 14 limit customers' access and download rights to Software and Support Materials pertaining to the 15 customers' licensed products. Customers have no contractual right to download Software and 16 Support Materials relating to software programs they have not licensed from Oracle, or for which 17 the customers did not purchase support rights, or once the support rights they did purchase have 18 expired.

40. 19 Thousands of these downloads were made to servers associated with the 20 IP addresses 71.5.6.20, 71.5.6.23 and 71.5.6.28, which are owned by Rimini Street. Many of 21 these downloads were to users whose log-in name ended with "@riministreet.com." By way of 22 example only, between December 10, 2008, and December 18, 2008, a user credential ending 23 with "@riministreet.com" downloaded more than 100,000 files to the server associated with IP 24 address 71.5.6.23. Likewise, between April 20 and May 1, 2009, a user credential ending with 25 "@riministreet.com" downloaded several thousand files to the server associated with the IP 26 address 71.5.6.20. In these examples and many others, thousands of the downloaded files were 27 unauthorized and exceeded the scope of the Software and Support Materials that were licensed 28 for the customer on whose behalf Rimini Street ostensibly performed the downloading. A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 11

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 12 of 42

1 41. As another example, from November 18-24, 2008, Rimini Street used an 2 automated crawler in an attempt to download approximately more than 800,000 files from 3 Oracle's Technical Support website, resulting in approximately 120,000 successful downloads to 4 the server associated with the IP address 71.5.6.20. The reason why such a low percentage of the 5 downloads was successful is that Rimini Street programmed the crawler to increase the 6 document number for each copied file by one digit over the last one (i.e., document ID 0.1, then 7 1.1, 2.1, 3.1, and so on), to indiscriminately and systemically copy literally every document on 8 the website, regardless of the license applicable to the customer credentials input into the crawler 9 software to obtain access to Oracle's systems. Most of the document numbers the crawler sought 10 to copy did not actually exist as files; Rimini Street simply had programmed the crawler to 11 search for and copy every conceivable document number – comprehensively to take everything. 12 This specific instance of Rimini Street's downloading terminated only when Oracle disabled 13 access to the IP address involved.

14 42. The indiscriminate nature of Rimini Street's illegal downloading is 15 apparent from the files that it downloaded. Oracle's software applications are generally grouped 16 into product families, such as PeopleSoft, JDE and Siebel. A customer using an application 17 typically knows – and a support provider such as Rimini Street would obviously know – what 18 family the application is in, as that is the most basic information about the software. A customer 19 licensed for and using only Siebel applications, for example, would typically have no use for an 20 update or support document relating to a software application in a different family (e.g., 21 PeopleSoft), as it would be useless to the customer. And, of course, that customer would have 22 no right to copy or use the Software and Support Materials corresponding to a separate, 23 unlicensed application.

43. On many occasions, however, Rimini Street downloaded documents in a
particular software family while purporting to act on behalf of customers who had no license to
any application for any product in that family. For example, in November 2008 Rimini Street
downloaded tens of thousands of documents from the PeopleSoft and JDE families of software
applications using the log-in credentials of a customer that had no license for any PeopleSoft
A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 13 of 42

software and whose contracts for JDE software had expired years ago. This indicates that Rimini
 Street made no attempt to limit its downloading to what was authorized for a given Oracle
 customer. To the contrary, it engaged in indiscriminate downloading.

4 44. These are only examples. Rimini Street's massive downloading totaled at 5 least 100,000 unauthorized files. It appears that Rimini Street sometimes performs downloads 6 from a customer's IP address, and the author identification (e.g., "Dennis Chiu") or sign on (e.g., 7 "rimini street") indicates that a Rimini Street employee actually performed the downloading. At 8 other times, neither the IP address nor the log-on credentials expressly identify Rimini Street, but 9 Rimini Street is in fact responsible for the unauthorized download. For example, there have been 10 occasions when unauthorized downloads were performed from an IP address of a customer listed 11 on Rimini Street's website, and the downloading purportedly done by that customer vastly 12 exceeded the customer's past usage and involves products to which the customer has no license. 13 On information and belief, Rimini Street performed those unauthorized downloads (or induced 14 the customer to do so).

15 45. Rimini Street's large-scale, unauthorized downloading has also damaged
16 Oracle's servers that contain the content of the Technical Support websites by causing them to
17 freeze, slow down, or become temporarily non-operational due to the scope of the downloading.
18 This impedes the functioning of Oracle's business and disrupts Oracle's ability to provide
19 service to its customers.

20 46. Rimini Street has admitted that it has engaged in large-scale downloading 21 that has damaged Oracle's servers. In November 2008 Oracle blocked access to a Rimini Street 22 IP address which had downloaded thousands of Software and Support Materials. Rimini Street 23 then complained to Oracle that "a manual methodology is not feasible" to download the sheer 24 number of documents Rimini Street was attempting to, "which is why we've had to employ our 25 methodology." The Rimini Street employee admitted that the mass downloading impeded the 26 performance of Oracle's servers: "I understand our current methodology creates issues with the 27 CPU utilization on Oracle's servers, and as such, you've had to block any access from our IP 28 addresses."

13

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 14 of 42

1 47. Oracle instructed Rimini to immediately cease this massive, indiscriminate 2 downloading. Rimini Street refused. Rimini Street, through its lawyers, confirmed that it had 3 "resort[ed] to automation tools as the only feasible way to try to identify, catalog, and download 4 such a large volume of Support Materials." It complained that when Oracle is able to detect an 5 attempt to "download a substantial amount of Support Material items" in a volume that indicates 6 the use of automated tools – which violates of Oracle's Terms of Use – Oracle will then shut 7 down access to the associated IP address.

8

D. **Rimini Street's Access Was Unauthorized**

9 48. Rimini Street's unauthorized access to, copying of, and use of Software 10 and Support Materials and its customers' software releases, violated the terms of the Oracle 11 customers' License Agreements and the Technical Support website Terms of Use. These terms 12 included agreements:

- 13 Not to access or use any portion of the Software, including updates, not expressly 14 licensed and paid for by the Licensee;
- 15 Not to directly or indirectly, sublicense, relicense, distribute, disclose, use, rent, or • 16 lease the software or documentation, or any portion thereof, for third party use, or 17 third party training;
- 18 Not to access the customer support system if not the customer's authorized and • 19 designated Oracle technical support contact;
- 20 Not to use the materials on the support website except in support of the • 21 customer's authorized use of the Oracle programs for which the customer holds a 22 supported license from Oracle;
- 23 That the customer username and password are for the customer's sole use in 24 accessing this support server;
- 25 That the customer username and password may only be distributed to or used by 26 persons in the customer's organization who have a legitimate business purpose for
- 27 accessing the materials contained on the support server in furtherance of the
- customer's relationship with Oracle; 28 14
 - A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130

- 1 Not to impede the functioning or performance of the Technical Support website;
- 2

3

- Not to use automated mechanisms to perform downloads, such as robots or crawlers; and
- That the materials on the support website are confidential information subject to
 existing confidentiality agreements.

6 49. Rimini Street has intimate familiarity with these important restrictions and 7 conditions relating to Oracle's Software and Support Materials. Of Rimini Street's ten-member 8 management team, seven list prior employment experience with PeopleSoft, Siebel, or Oracle. 9 In addition, other Rimini Street managers and employees claim to have years of experience 10 providing support services for PeopleSoft software. In short, Rimini Street cannot credibly claim 11 ignorance of Oracle's access rules. Indeed, in the public statements quoted above, Ravin has 12 demonstrated his close reading of Oracle's allegations against SAP, has confirmed his awareness 13 of Oracle's access rules, and has admitted that Rimini Street must comply with those rules.

14 50. Notwithstanding Rimini Street's knowledge of Oracle's license 15 agreements with its customers, the support website terms of use, and the confidential, 16 proprietary, and copyrighted nature of Oracle's Software and Support Materials, Rimini Street 17 accessed and downloaded the Software and Support Materials when it either had no legitimate 18 basis to access Oracle's restricted website, or in a way that grossly violated the limited access 19 rights it did have. Further, the scope of the downloaded Software and Support Materials – across 20 multiple libraries in multiple lines of business – for customers that had no license to take, or need 21 for, those products, suggests that Rimini Street took the Software and Support Materials to stockpile a library to support its present and prospective customers. 22

51. To the extent Rimini Street had any legitimate basis to access Oracle's site
as a contract consultant for a customer with current licensed support rights, it committed to abide
by the same license obligations and usage terms and conditions applicable to licensed customers.
Indeed, anyone accessing such Software and Support Materials on the Oracle support website
must agree to Oracle's terms and conditions, which restrict access to support only for products
that a company has licensed, and impose strict confidentiality requirements. Rimini Street

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 16 of 42

reviewed and agreed to the terms and conditions on Oracle's support website before proceeding,
 and therefore committed its theft knowingly and intentionally, and in conscious disregard of
 Oracle's copyrights and other protected intellectual property, contractual restrictions on the use
 of its intellectual property, and the integrity of its computer systems.

5 6

E. Oracle's Software And Support Materials Are Registered With The Copyright Office

7 52. The Software and Support Materials and software applications that Rimini 8 Street downloaded from Oracle's systems included numerous works that are protected under the 9 Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. These protected works are original works of 10 authorship, owned by Oracle. Defendants' acts violated Oracle's exclusive rights to reproduce, 11 create derivative works, publish, publicly display, offer for sale, and distribute (collectively, 12 "copy") these works. Defendants' acts were willful and intentional and constitute both direct 13 and indirect copyright infringement under the Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. 14 53. The massive nature of the illicit downloads by Rimini Street make it 15 impossible to detail comprehensively each copyright violation in this Complaint. However, 16 Oracle has more than 80 certificates of registration from the Register of Copyrights that cover a wide range of Software and Support Materials copied by Rimini Street. Collectively, these 17 18 registrations cover thousands of unlicensed Software and Support materials unlawfully copied by 19 Rimini Street.

20

F. Defendants Conspired With And Aided And Abetted Each Other

54. Defendants willfully, intentionally, and knowingly agreed and conspired
with each other to engage in the alleged wrongful conduct, including Defendants' copyright
infringement, interference with Oracle's business relationships and other unfair business
practices, as well as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the Software and
Support Materials.

26 55. Defendants did the acts alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, that
27 agreement and/or furthered the conspiracy by cooperating, encouraging, ratifying, or adopting
28 the acts of the others.
A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130
16

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 17 of 42

| 156. As a direct and proximate result of the acts in furtherance of the conspiracy, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses for Oracle's software programs. The wrongful conduct committed pursuant to the conspiracy was a substantial factor in causing this harm.657. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act.1158. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself.13Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm.1960. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct.23 Eirst Claim for Relief (By OIC Against All Defendants)24 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th></td<> | | |
|--|----|---|
| 3 of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses 4 for Oracle's software programs. The wrongful conduct committed pursuant to the conspiracy 5 was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 6 57. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known 7 of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such 8 wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well 9 as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and 10 Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 11 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the 12 other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately 13 considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 14 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, 15 Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits 16 fort in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 10 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful a | 1 | 56. As a direct and proximate result of the acts in furtherance of the |
| 4 for Oracle's software programs. The wrongful conduct committed pursuant to the conspiracy 5 was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 6 57. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known 7 of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such 8 wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well 9 as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and 10 Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 11 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the 12 other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately 13 considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 14 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, 15 Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits 16 from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle 17 software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial 18 factor in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreemen | 2 | conspiracy, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss |
| was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 57. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 3 | of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses |
| 6 57. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known 7 of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such 8 wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well 9 as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and 10 Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 11 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the 12 other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately 13 considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 14 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, 15 Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits 16 from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle 18 factor in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 20 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, 21 and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of 21 < | 4 | for Oracle's software programs. The wrongful conduct committed pursuant to the conspiracy |
| of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 5 | was a substantial factor in causing this harm. |
| wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 6 | 57. Defendants also had full knowledge of or should have reasonably known |
| as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 7 | of the true nature of the wrongful conduct of each other Defendant, and aided and abetted such |
| Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 8 | wrongful conduct, including copyright infringement, and other unfair business practices, as well |
| 11 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the 12 other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately 13 considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 14 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, 16 Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits 16 from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle 17 software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial 18 factor in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 20 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, 21 and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of 21 punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. 23 <u>First Claim for Relief</u> 24 Copyright Infringement 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set fort | 9 | as Defendants' trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the copyrighted Software and |
| 12other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately13considered, was wrongful in and of itself.1459. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts,15Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits16from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle17software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial18factor in causing this harm.1960. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these20wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive,21and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of22punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct.23First Claim for Relief24Copyright Infringement25(By OIC Against All Defendants)2661. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding27paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.2862. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 10 | Support Materials, by providing substantial assistance and/or encouraging the others to act. |
| considered, was wrongful in and of itself. 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 11 | 58. Defendants also aided and abetted the described wrongful conduct of the |
| 14 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, 15 Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits 16 from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle 17 software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial 18 factor in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 20 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, 21 and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of 22 punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. 23 <u>First Claim for Relief</u> 24 Copyright Infringement 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 12 | other Defendants by giving substantial assistance and/or encouragement that, separately |
| Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 13 | considered, was wrongful in and of itself. |
| 16 from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle 17 software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial 18 factor in causing this harm. 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 20 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, 21 and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of 22 punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. 23 First Claim for Relief 24 Copyright Infringement 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 14 | 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aiding and abetting of these acts, |
| software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 15 | Oracle has suffered injury, damage, loss, and harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits |
| factor in causing this harm. 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> Copyright Infringement (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 16 | from sales to current and potential customers of Oracle support services and licenses to Oracle |
| 19 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these 20 wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, 21 and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of 22 punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. 23 First Claim for Relief 24 Copyright Infringement 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 17 | software programs. The wrongful conduct aided and abetted by the Defendants was a substantial |
| wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> Copyright Infringement (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 18 | factor in causing this harm. |
| and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> Copyright Infringement (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 19 | 60. Defendants' intentional agreement to commit, and commission of, these |
| punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. <u>First Claim for Relief</u> Copyright Infringement (By OIC Against All Defendants) 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 20 | wrongful acts, and aiding and abetting of these wrongful acts, was willful, malicious, oppressive, |
| 23First Claim for Relief24Copyright Infringement25(By OIC Against All Defendants)2661.27paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.2862.0IC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 21 | and in conscious disregard of Oracle's rights, and Oracle is therefore entitled to an award of |
| 24 Copyright Infringement 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 22 | punitive damages to punish their wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. |
| 25 (By OIC Against All Defendants) 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 23 | First Claim for Relief |
| 26 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 24 | Copyright Infringement |
| 27 paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 25 | (By OIC Against All Defendants) |
| 28 62. OIC owns a valid and enforceable copyright in, or an exclusive license to, | 26 | 61. OIC incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the preceding |
| | 27 | paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. |
| | 28 | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 18 of 42

1 all of its software applications and Software and Support Materials, which are creative works of

2 original authorship. OIC has pre-existing, or has obtained from the Register of Copyrights,

3 Certificates of Registration that cover many of the software applications and Software and

4 Support Materials taken and copied by Rimini Street.²

5 63. OIC has also obtained, through transfer agreements, all rights, title, and

6 interest in registered and unregistered copyrights formerly owned by certain PeopleSoft, JDE,

- 7 and Siebel entities.
 - 64. OIC owned exclusive rights to each of the copyrights at issue in this case

9 at a point in time during which Defendants infringed those exclusive rights.

10

8

65. Defendants have infringed copyrights in Oracle software applications and

11 Software and Support Materials, including the software applications and Software and Support

12 Materials covered by these certificates. These certificates are identified, dated and numbered as

13 follows:

| Title of Work | Date of Registration | Registration Number |
|---|--|---|
| Shop Floor Control program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-303 |
| EDI Interface (6) program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-304 |
| Configuration Management program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-305 |
| Master Production Scheduling program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-306 |
| Capacity Requirements Planning program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-307 |
| WorldCASE Development Environment program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-308 |
| Equipment Management (5) program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-309 |
| General Ledger & Basic Financial program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-310 |
| Enterprise Facility Planning program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-311 |
| Accounts Receivable program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-312 |
| Warehouse Management program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-313 |
| Inventory Management program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-314 |
| Sales Order Processing/Sales Analysis program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-315 |
| Purchase Order Processing program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-316 |
| Product Data Management program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-317 |
| Financial Reporting (FASTR) program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-318 |
| WorldCASE Foundation Environment (3) | | |
| program | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-319 |
| | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-320 |
| Financial Modeling, Budgeting & Allocations | March 7, 1995 | TXu 619-321 |
| | Shop Floor Control programEDI Interface (6) programConfiguration Management programMaster Production Scheduling programCapacity Requirements Planning programWorldCASE Development Environment programEquipment Management (5) programGeneral Ledger & Basic Financial programEnterprise Facility Planning programAccounts Receivable programWarehouse Management programInventory Management programSales Order Processing/Sales Analysis programProduct Data Management programFinancial Reporting (FASTR) programWorldCASE Foundation Environment (3) | Shop Floor Control programMarch 7, 1995EDI Interface (6) programMarch 7, 1995Configuration Management programMarch 7, 1995Master Production Scheduling programMarch 7, 1995Capacity Requirements Planning programMarch 7, 1995WorldCASE Development Environment programMarch 7, 1995Equipment Management (5) programMarch 7, 1995General Ledger & Basic Financial programMarch 7, 1995Enterprise Facility Planning programMarch 7, 1995Varehouse Management programMarch 7, 1995Varehouse Management programMarch 7, 1995Inventory Management programMarch 7, 1995Sales Order Processing/Sales Analysis programMarch 7, 1995Purchase Order Processing programMarch 7, 1995Product Data Management programMarch 7, 1995Financial Reporting (FASTR) programMarch 7, 1995WorldCASE Foundation Environment (3) programMarch 7, 1995Accounts Payable programMarch 7, 1995 |

26

² Oracle reserves the right to amend the Complaint in the event it obtains additional
 copyright registrations for Software and Support Materials taken and copied by Rimini Street beyond the registrations it has already.

28

| program | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| PeopleSoft HRMS 7.0 | December 15 1998 | TX 4-792-577 |
| PeopleSoft HRMS 7.5 | December 15, 1998 | TX 4-792-575 |
| PeopleSoft HRMS 8.0 | November 20, 2000 | TX 5-291-440 |
| PeopleSoft 8 HRMS SP1 | March 26, 2001 | TX 5-501-312 |
| PeopleSoft 8.3 HRMS | February 1, 2002 | TX 5-469-032 |
| PeopleSoft 8.8 HRMS | June 11, 2004 | TX 6-093-947 |
| PeopleSoft 8 Customer Relationship | | 17.0 000 011 |
| Management | September 27, 2001 | TX-5-456-777 |
| PeopleSoft 8.8 Customer Relationship | • | |
| Management | June 11, 2004 | TX 6-015-317 |
| PeopleSoft Financials, Distribution & | | |
| Manufacturing 7.5 | December 15, 1998 | TX 4-792-574 |
| PeopleSoft 8 Financials and Supply Chain | 0 / 1 07 0001 | |
| Management: Service Pack 2 | September 27, 2001 | TX-5-456-780 |
| PeopleSoft 8.4 Financials and Supply Chain | August 5, 2002 | TV 5 506 047 |
| Management | August 5, 2002 | TX-5-586-247 |
| PeopleSoft 8.8 Enterprise Performance | lupe 11 2004 | TX 5 002 646 |
| Management PeopleSoft 8 Student Administration Solutions | June 11, 2004 November 30, 2001 | TX-5-993-616 |
| | | TX 5-431-289 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne XE | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-033 |
| Cumulative Update 8 for JDE EnterpriseOne Xe | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-048 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.0 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-050 |
| Cumulative Update 1 for JDE EnterpriseOne 8.0 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-034 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.9 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-049 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.10 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-038 |
| Cumulative Update 2 for JDE EnterpriseOne | i | |
| 8.10 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-032 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.11 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-028 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.11 SP1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-040 |
| ESU for JDE EnterpriseOne 8.11 SP1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-027 |
| Cumulative Update 1 for JDE EnterpriseOne | | |
| 8.11 SP1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-039 |
| Initial release of JDE EnterpriseOne 8.12 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-041 |
| ESU for JDE EnterpriseOne 8.12 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-045 |
| Cumulative Update 1 for JDE EnterpriseOne | | • |
| 8.12 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-042 |
| Initial release of JDE World A7.3 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-029 |
| Cumulative Update 16 for JDE World A7.3 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-031 |
| Initial release of JDE World A8.1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-047 |
| Code Change for JDE World A8.1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-044 |
| Cumulative Update 6 for JDE World A8.1 | May 1, 2007 | TX 6-545-421 |
| Initial release of JDE World A9.1 | April 26, 2007 | TX 6-541-030 |
| PeopleSoft Financials and Supply Chain | 7,pm 20, 2007 | 17.0-041-030 |
| Management (FIN/SCM) 8.0 | November 20, 2000 | TX 5-291-439 |
| | | 17 3-231-439 |
| PoopleSoft & EDM SD2 | March 30, 2001 | TY 5 245 600 |
| PeopleSoft 8 EPM SP3 | March 30, 2001 | TX 5-345-698 |
| PeopleSoft 8.3 Enterprise Performance | March 11, 0000 | |
| Management | March 11, 2002 | TX 5-485-839 |
| PeopleSoft 8.1 Customer Relationship | | TX 5-493-450 |
| Management | March 20, 2002 | |

| 1 | | | |
|------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | PeopleSoft 8 FIN/SCM SP1 | March 26, 2001 | TX 5-501-313 |
| 2 | PeopleSoft 7.0 financials, distribution & | | |
| 3 | manufacturing 7.0 | December 15, 1998 | TX 4-792-576 |
| 4 | PeopleSoft Benefits Administration 7.50 | June 14, 1999 | TX 5-072-090 |
| 5 | PeopleSoft Benefits Administration 7.0 | June 15, 1999 | TX 4-258-824 |
| 6 | PeopleSoft Payroll Interface 7.50 | June 21, 1999 | TX 3-772-292 |
| 7 | PeopleSoft Pension Administration 7 | June 21, 1999 | TX 3-772-290 |
| 8 | PeopleSoft Pension Administration 7.50 | June 21, 1999 | TX 3-772-291 |
| 9 | PeopleSoft Payroll 7 | June 22, 1999 | TX 4-501-140 |
| 10 | PeopleSoft Payroll Interface 7 | June 22, 1999 | TX 4-501-138 |
| 11 | PeopleSoft Human Resources 7 | June 28, 1999 | TX 4-994-865 |
| 12 | PeopleSoft Human Resources 7.50 | June 28, 1999 | TX 5-013-123 |
| 13 | PeopleSoft Payroll 7.50 | June 28, 1999 | TX 5-013-125 |
| 14 | PeopleSoft Payroll Interface 7 Higher Education | June 28, 1999 | TX 5-013-124 |
| 15 | PeopleSoft Time and Labor 7 | June 28, 1999 | TX 5-013-128 |
| 16 17 | PeopleSoft Time and Labor 7.0 | June 28, 1999 | TX 4-994-866 |
| 18 | PeopleSoft Time and Labor 7.50 | June 28, 1999 | TX 4-994-867 |
| 19 | Database of Documentary Customer Support Materials for PeopleSoft Software | July 1, 2009 | TXu1-607-454 |
| 20 | Database of Documentary Customer Support Materials for J.D. Edwards Software | July 1, 2009 | TXu1-607-455 |
| 21 | Siebel 6.3 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 | TX 6-941-989 |
| | Siebel 7.0.5 Initial Release and Documentation Siebel 7.5.2 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 June 29, 2009 | TX 6-941-988 TX 6-941-990 |
| 22 | Siebel 7.7.1 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 | TX 6-941-990 |
| 1 2 | Siebel 7.8 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 | TX 6-941-995 |
| 23 | Siebel 8.0 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 | TX 6-942-000 |
| 24 | Siebel 8.1.1 Initial Release and Documentation | June 29, 2009 | TX 6-942-001 |
| 25 | Database of Documentary Customer Support Materials for Siebel Software | July 1, 2009 | TXu1-607-453 |

26

_

66. These registrations generally cover, but are not limited to, numerous

27 versions of Oracle software, including the updates, patches and fixes incorporated in each28

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 21 of 42

| 1 | relevant version, service packs of Oracle updates, patches and fixes, and individual exemplar |
|----|--|
| 2 | Software and Support Materials, including certain Oracle knowledge management solutions and |
| 3 | certain Oracle updates, patches and fixes, all of which Rimini Street copied without a license. |
| 4 | 67. Through the acts alleged above, Defendants have violated the exclusive |
| 5 | rights of OIC to reproduce and make copies of their copyrighted Software and Support Materials, |
| 6 | including materials covered by the registrations listed above by, among other things, |
| 7 | downloading (copying) Oracle's copyrighted Software and Support Materials onto its computers |
| 8 | in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106, repeatedly copying entire releases of Oracle's software, and |
| 9 | related documentation, to Rimini Street's own local systems, without authorization or license and |
| 10 | creating unlicensed works derived from these copies. |
| 11 | 68. Defendants have also violated the exclusive rights of OIC to control the |
| 12 | distribution, creation of derivative works and public display of copyrighted works by |
| 13 | downloading, copying, creating derivative works from and/or distributing Oracle's Software and |
| 14 | Support Materials and/or derivative works to Defendants' customers, via posting to its website, |
| 15 | by electronic mail, through file transfer protocol, or otherwise, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106. |
| 16 | 69. Defendants were not authorized to copy, download, reproduce, create |
| 17 | derivative works from, distribute, or publicly display Oracle's copyrighted software applications |
| 18 | and Software and Support Materials except as authorized by and in support of a specific licensed |
| 19 | customer, using only (in the case of Software and Support Materials) that licensed customer's |
| 20 | log-in credentials, and with respect only to Software and Support Materials for which that |
| 21 | customer had a current right to have and use. |
| 22 | 70. In addition to directly infringing the exclusive rights of OIC, Defendants |
| 23 | have contributorily and/or vicariously infringed the exclusive rights of OIC in the Software and |
| 24 | Support Materials by controlling, directing, intentionally encouraging, inducing or materially |
| 25 | contributing to the copying, distribution, publicly display or creation of derivative works from |
| 26 | Oracle's copyrighted software applications and Software and Support Materials. Defendants |
| 27 | also obtained a direct financial benefit from the above alleged infringing activities while |
| 28 | declining to exercise their right to stop it or limit it.A/73245022.11/2021039-000033713021 |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 22 of 42

| 1 | 71. Defendants knew or should have known that copying, distributing, public |
|----|--|
| 2 | display of, and creating derivative works of and from Oracle Software and Support Materials, |
| 3 | which Defendants copied in the name of customers who had no license to copy, distribute, |
| 4 | publicly display or create derivative works from those materials, infringed the exclusive rights of |
| 5 | OIC in those materials. |
| 6 | 72. OIC is entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including |
| 7 | profits attributable to the infringement not taken into account in computing actual damages under |
| 8 | 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). OIC is entitled to statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c) based on |
| 9 | Defendants' infringements after the dates of copyright registration. |
| 10 | 73. Defendants' infringement of the exclusive rights of OIC has also caused |
| 11 | OIC irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendants will continue to commit such |
| 12 | acts. OIC's remedies at law are not adequate to compensate them for these inflicted and |
| 13 | threatened injuries, entitling them to remedies including injunctive relief as provided by 17 |
| 14 | U.S.C. § 502, and an order impounding or destroying any and all infringing materials pursuant to |
| 15 | 17 U.S.C. § 503. |
| 16 | Second Claim for Relief |
| 17 | Violation of Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act |
| 18 | (18 U.S.C. \$\$ 1030(a)(2)(C), (a)(4) & (a)(5)) |
| 19 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) |
| 20 | 74. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference each of the allegations in |
| 21 | the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. |
| 22 | 75. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. |
| 23 | § 1030(a)(2)(C), by intentionally accessing a computer used for interstate commerce or |
| 24 | communication, without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to such a computer, and |
| 25 | by obtaining information from such a protected computer. |
| 26 | 76. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. |
| 27 | § 1030(a)(4), by knowingly, and with intent to defraud Oracle USA or OIC, accessing a |
| 28 | protected computer, without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to such a computer, A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 22 |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 23 of 42

1 and by means of such conduct furthered the intended fraud and obtained one or more things of 2 value, including but not limited to Oracle's Software and Support Materials. 3 77. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. 4 § 1030(a)(5)(A)(i), by knowingly causing the transmission of a program, information, code, or 5 command and as a result intentionally causing damage without authorization to a protected 6 computer owned by Oracle USA. 7 78. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 8 1030(a)(5)(A)(ii) and (iii) by intentionally accessing a protected computer without authorization, 9 causing damage to Oracle USA or OIC, recklessly or without due regard for their actions. 10 79. The computer system or systems that Defendants accessed as described 11 above constitute a "protected computer" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2). Oracle 12 USA and OIC have suffered damage and loss by reason of these violations, including, without 13 limitation, harm to Oracle USA's and OIC's data, programs, and computer systems, and other 14 losses and damage in an amount to be proved at trial, but, in any event, in an amount well over 15 \$5000 aggregated over a one-year period. 16 80. Defendants' unlawful access to and theft from Oracle USA's computers 17 have caused Oracle USA and OIC irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, 18 Defendants will continue to commit such acts. Oracle USA's, and OIC's remedies at law are not 19 adequate to compensate them for these inflicted and threatened injuries, entitling Oracle USA 20 and OIC to remedies including injunctive relief as provided by 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g). 21 **Third Claim for Relief** Computer Data Access and Fraud Act – Cal. Penal Code § 502 22 23 (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) 24 81. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of 25 paragraphs 1 through 51 and 54-60 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 26 82. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(2) by knowingly 27 and fraudulently, and without permission, accessing, taking, copying, and making use of 28 programs, data, and files from Oracle USA's computers, computer systems, and/or computer A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 23

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 24 of 42

| 1 | networks. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 83. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(3) by |
| 3 | knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission accessing and using Oracle USA's computer |
| 4 | services. |
| 5 | 84. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(6) by |
| 6 | knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission providing, or assisting in providing, a means of |
| 7 | accessing Oracle USA's computers, computer systems, and/or computer networks. |
| 8 | 85. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(7) by |
| 9 | knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission accessing, or causing to be accessed, Oracle |
| 10 | USA's computers, computer systems, and/or computer networks. |
| 11 | 86. Oracle USA or OIC own certain data that comprises Software and Support |
| 12 | Materials obtained by Defendants as alleged above. |
| 13 | 87. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct within |
| 14 | the meaning of California Penal Code § 502, Defendants have caused damage to Oracle USA |
| 15 | and OIC in an amount to be proven at trial. Oracle USA and OIC are also entitled to recover |
| 16 | their reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Penal Code § 502(e). |
| 17 | 88. Oracle USA and OIC are informed and believe that the aforementioned |
| 18 | acts of the Defendants were willful and malicious in that Defendants' acts described above were |
| 19 | done with the deliberate intent to injure Oracle USA's and OIC's business and improve its own. |
| 20 | Oracle USA and OIC are therefore entitled to punitive damages. |
| 21 | Oracle USA and OIC have also suffered irreparable injury from these acts, and |
| 22 | due to the continuing threat of such injury, have no adequate remedy at law, entitling Oracle |
| 23 | USA and OIC to injunctive relief. |
| 24 | Fourth Claim for Relief |
| 25 | Nevada Rev. Stat. 205.4765 |
| 26 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) |
| 27 | 89. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of |
| 28 | paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-88 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 24 |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 25 of 42

| 1 | 90. | At least some of Defendants' unlawful conduct, described above, occurred | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | at Rimini Street's operations in Nevada. Accordingly, Oracle pleads this claim in the alternative | | |
| 3 | to the extent the Court may determine that NRS 205.4765 applies to such conduct in Nevada | | |
| 4 | instead of California | Penal Code § 502. | |
| 5 | 91. | Defendants have violated NRS 205.4765(1) by knowingly, willfully and | |
| 6 | without authorization | using, transferring, taking, retaining possession of, copying, accessing and | |
| 7 | attempting to obtain a | access to data, programs, and supporting documents that exist inside or | |
| 8 | outside Oracle USA' | s computers, computer systems, and/or computer networks. | |
| 9 | 92. | Defendants have violated NRS 205.4765(2) by knowingly, willfully and | |
| 10 | without authorization | using, damaging, accessing or attempting to obtain access to equipment or | |
| 11 | supplies that are used | or intended to be used in equipment or supplies that are used or intended to | |
| 12 | be used in Oracle US | A's computers, computer systems and/or computer networks. | |
| 13 | 93. | Defendants have violated NRS 205.4765(3) by knowingly, willfully and | |
| 14 | without authorization | using, damaging, accessing or attempting to obtain access to Oracle USA's | |
| 15 | computer systems and | d/or computer networks. | |
| 16 | 94. | Defendants have violated NRS 205.4765(4) by knowingly, willfully and | |
| 17 | without authorization | using a device to access Oracle USA's computers, computer networks and | |
| 18 | data. | | |
| 19 | 95. | Defendants have violated NRS 205.4765(5) by knowingly, willfully and | |
| 20 | without authorization | introducing or attempting to introduce a computer contaminant into Oracle | |
| 21 | USA's computers, co | mputer systems and/or computer networks. | |
| 22 | 96. | Oracle USA or OIC own certain data that comprises Software and Support | |
| 23 | Materials obtained by | Defendants as alleged above. | |
| 24 | 97. | As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct within | |
| 25 | the meaning of NRS | 205.4765, Defendants have caused damage to Oracle USA and OIC in an | |
| 26 | amount to be proven | at trial. Oracle USA and OIC are also entitled to recover their reasonable | |
| 27 | attorneys' fees pursua | ant to NRS 205.511(1)(c). | |
| 28 | 98. A/73245022.11/2021039-00003 | Oracle USA and OIC are informed and believe that the aforementioned 25 | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 26 of 42

| 1 | acts of the Defendants were willful and malicious in that Defendants' acts described above were |
|----|---|
| 2 | done with the deliberate intent to injure Oracle USA's and OIC's business and improve its own. |
| 3 | Oracle USA and OIC are therefore entitled to punitive damages under NRS 205.511(1)(b). |
| 4 | 99. Oracle USA and OIC have also suffered irreparable injury from these acts, |
| 5 | and due to the continuing threat of such injury, have no adequate remedy at law, entitling Oracle |
| 6 | USA and OIC to injunctive relief. |
| 7 | Fifth Claim for Relief |
| 8 | Breach of Contract |
| 9 | (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) |
| 10 | 100. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 |
| 11 | through 51, 54-60, and 81-99 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. |
| 12 | 101. Defendants agreed to be bound by the licenses and Terms of Use on |
| 13 | Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web |
| 14 | sites Terms of Use when Defendants accessed or downloaded Software and Support Materials |
| 15 | from Oracle's customer support websites. |
| 16 | 102. Oracle USA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises |
| 17 | required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of Oracle's |
| 18 | customer support websites' Terms of Use, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle |
| 19 | Web sites Terms of Use. |
| 20 | 103. Defendants have breached Oracle's customer support websites' Terms of |
| 21 | Use, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use by, among |
| 22 | other things: |
| 23 | • Accessing Software and Support Materials not expressly licensed to and/or paid |
| 24 | for by Defendants or the customers in whose name Defendants accessed Oracle's |
| 25 | customer support websites and took the Software and Support Materials; |
| 26 | • Accessing the content available through Oracle's customer support websites, in |
| 27 | the form of the Software and Support Materials, without being an authorized and |
| 28 | designated Oracle technical support contact; A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 26 |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 27 of 42

| 1 | • Using the Software and Support Materials other than in support of a customer's | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | authorized use of Oracle software for which a customer holds a supported license | | |
| 3 | from Oracle; | | |
| 4 | • Using the Software and Support Materials without a legitimate business purpose; | | |
| 5 | • Using automated mechanisms to perform downloads from the Technical Support | | |
| 6 | website, such as robots or crawlers, and using other methods of downloading that | | |
| 7 | impede the functioning or performance of the Technical Support website; | | |
| 8 | • Using the Software and Support Materials in ways other than the furtherance of a | | |
| 9 | relationship with Oracle; and, | | |
| 10 | • Accessing or using Software and Support Materials other than for personal, | | |
| 11 | informational or non-commercial purposes. | | |
| 12 | 104. As a result of Defendants' breach of Oracle's customer support websites' | | |
| 13 | Terms of Use, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use, | | |
| 14 | Defendants have caused damage to Oracle USA in an amount to be proven at trial. | | |
| | Sixth Claim for Relief | | |
| 15 | Sixth Claim for Relief | | |
| 15 16 | <u>Sixth Claim for Relief</u> Inducing Breach of Contract | | |
| | | | |
| 16 | Inducing Breach of Contract | | |
| 16 17 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) | | |
| 16 17 18 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 | | |
| 16 17 18 19 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | Inducing Breach of Contract(By Oracle USA Against All Defendants)105.Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.106.Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Terms | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 106. Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 106. Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use when they or anyone acting on their behalf accessed or | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 106. Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use when they or anyone acting on their behalf accessed or downloaded Software and Support Materials from Oracle's customer support websites. | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Inducing Breach of Contract(By Oracle USA Against All Defendants)105.Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.106.Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Termsof Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and theOracle Web sites Terms of Use when they or anyone acting on their behalf accessed ordownloaded Software and Support Materials from Oracle's customer support websites.107.Oracle USA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises | | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Inducing Breach of Contract (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) 105. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-104 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 106. Oracle USA's customers agreed to be bound by the licenses and/or Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use when they or anyone acting on their behalf accessed or downloaded Software and Support Materials from Oracle's customer support websites. 107. Oracle USA has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the Terms of Use on Oracle's customer | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 28 of 42

1 contracts. Defendants had knowledge of the existence of these contracts at all relevant times.

2 However, motivated by a desire to obtain an unfair competitive advantage, Defendants induced

- 3 Oracle's customers to breach those contracts. These contracts would otherwise have been
- 4 performed were it not for Defendants' acts inducing the customers to breach them.

5 109. Defendants acted at times as the authorized agents of Oracle USA's 6 customers, specifically as their support providers, when they accessed and downloaded Software 7 and Support Materials in violation of the Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, 8 including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use, as described 9 above. These actions accordingly caused the customers to be in breach of the Terms of Use on 10 Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web 11 sites Terms of Use. Defendants intended their actions to cause Oracle USA's customers to 12 breach these contractual obligations.

13 110. As a result of Defendants' inducing Oracle USA's customers to breach the 14 Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and 15 the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use, Defendants have caused damage to Oracle USA in an 16 amount to be proven at trial.

17 Defendants acted with fraud, oppression and malice in inducing Oracle 111. 18 USA's customers to breach the Terms of Use on Oracle's customer support websites, including 19 the Metalink 3 Terms of Use and the Oracle Web sites Terms of Use, and Oracle USA is 20 therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish Defendants' wrongful conduct and 21 deter future wrongful conduct.

22 Seventh Claim for Relief 23 **Intentional Interference With Prospective Economic Advantage** 24 (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) 25 112. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of 26 paragraphs 51, 54-60, and 81-111 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 27 113. Oracle USA and OIC have and had an expectancy in continuing and 28 advantageous economic relationships with current and prospective purchasers and licensees of 28

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 29 of 42

| 1 | Oracle's supp | ort services and software, which are conducted through Oracle USA and OIC. | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | 114. These relationships contained the probability of future economic benefit in | | | |
| 3 | the form of pr | ofitable support service contracts and software licenses. Had Defendants refrained | | | |
| 4 | from engaging | g in the unlawful and wrongful conduct described in this complaint, there is a | | | |
| 5 | substantial probability that support customers of Oracle USA and OIC would have initiated, | | | | |
| 6 | renewed, or expanded support contracts and software licenses with those Oracle entities, rather | | | | |
| 7 | than with Defe | endants. | | | |
| 8 | | 115. Defendants were aware of these economic relationships and intended to | | | |
| 9 | interfere with | and disrupt them by wrongfully: | | | |
| 10 | • | gaining unauthorized access to Oracle USA's computer systems through Oracle's | | | |
| 11 | | password-protected customer support websites in violation of the agreements | | | |
| 12 | | governing such access; | | | |
| 13 | • | gaining unauthorized access to the Software and Support Materials available on | | | |
| 14 | | Oracle USA's computer systems through Oracle's customer support websites, in | | | |
| 15 | | violation of the agreements governing such access, including by using log-in | | | |
| 16 | | credentials of customers with no right or license to the Software and Support | | | |
| 17 | | Materials taken by Defendants; | | | |
| 18 | • | breaching the agreements governing access to, and use of, the websites and the | | | |
| 19 | | Software and Support Materials available through it, | | | |
| 20 | • | luring Oracle USA's and OIC's current and prospective customers by making | | | |
| 21 | | promotional and marketing statements regarding Defendants' ability to provide | | | |
| 22 | | support services for Oracle software that were only possible because of | | | |
| 23 | | Defendants' improper access to, and taking from, Oracle USA's computer | | | |
| 24 | | systems through Oracle's customer support websites; | | | |
| 25 | • | using information learned through the improper access to, and taking from, Oracle | | | |
| 26 | | USA's computer systems through Oracle's customer support websites to provide | | | |
| 27 | | support services to Defendants' customers; | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |

A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130

29

gaining unauthorized access to Oracle's software releases through deceptive
 representations to Oracle USA's and OIC's customers, causing customers to
 breach their license agreements with Oracle.

116. Defendants' conduct was wrongful by a measure beyond the fact of the
interference itself. Defendants gained unauthorized access to Oracle USA's computer systems
through Oracle USA's password-protected customer support websites, breached the agreements
governing access to, and use of, Oracle's customer support websites and the Software and
Support Materials available through Oracle's customer support websites, and wrongfully used
the property found there to advertise their services, and otherwise obtain and retain the current
and prospective clients of Oracle USA and OIC.

11 117. This conduct, as alleged above, constitutes violations of numerous state 12 and federal statutes and codes, including, but not limited to, violation of the Federal Computer 13 Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq., unauthorized access to computers, NRS 14 205.4765, Cal. Penal Code § 502, receipt of stolen property, Cal. Penal Code § 496, wire fraud, 15 18 U.S.C. § 1343, violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962, fraud and related activity in connection 16 with an access device, 18 U.S.C. § 1029, and violation of the Stored Communications Act, 18 17 U.S.C. §§ 2701-11. Defendants' conduct also constitutes trespass to chattels, breach of contract, 18 and unjust enrichment.

19 118. As a result of Defendants' acts, the above-described relationships have
20 been actually disrupted, causing certain current and prospective support clients to contract with
21 Defendants instead of with Oracle USA and OIC for those clients' software support and
22 maintenance and, in some cases, for their enterprise software.

119. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Oracle USA and
OIC have suffered economic harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales or
licenses to current and potential customers of support services and enterprise software programs.
Defendants' wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing this harm.

27 120. Unless Defendants are restrained by appropriate injunctive relief, their

28 actions are likely to recur and will cause Oracle USA and OIC irreparable injury for which there A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 30

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 31 of 42

| 1 | is no adequate remedy at law. | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | 121. Defendants' interference with Oracle USA's and OIC's prospective | | |
| 3 | economic advantage with its current and future customers, as described above, was willful, | | |
| 4 | malicious, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of Oracle USA's and OIC's rights, and Oracle | | |
| 5 | USA and OIC are therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages to punish Defendants' | | |
| 6 | wrongful conduct and deter future wrongful conduct. | | |
| 7 | Eighth Claim for Relief | | |
| 8 | Negligent Interference With Prospective Economic Advantage | | |
| 9 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) | | |
| 10 | 122. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of | | |
| 11 | paragraphs 51, 54-60, and 81-121 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 12 | 123. Oracle USA and OIC have and had an expectancy in continuing and | | |
| 13 | advantageous economic relationships with current and prospective purchasers and licensees of | | |
| 14 | Oracle's support services and software, which are conducted through Oracle USA and OIC. | | |
| 15 | 124. These relationships contained the probability of future economic benefit in | | |
| 16 | the form of profitable support service contracts and enterprise software licenses. Had | | |
| 17 | Defendants refrained from engaging in the unlawful and wrongful conduct described in this | | |
| 18 | complaint, there is a substantial probability that the support customers of Oracle USA and OIC | | |
| 19 | would have initiated, renewed, or expanded support contracts and enterprise software licenses | | |
| 20 | with Oracle USA and OIC, rather than with Defendants. | | |
| 21 | 125. Defendants knew or should have known about the economic relationship, | | |
| 22 | described above, and knew or should have known that these relationships would be interfered | | |
| 23 | with and disrupted if Defendants failed to act with reasonable care in their access of Oracle's | | |
| 24 | customer support websites and use of Oracle's Software and Support Materials. Defendants | | |
| 25 | failed to act with reasonable care. Instead, they: | | |
| 26 | • gained unauthorized access to Oracle USA's computer systems through | | |
| 27 | Oracle USA's password-protected customer support websites in violation | | |
| 28 | of the agreements governing such access; A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 31 | | |

| 1 | • gained unauthorized access to the Software and Support Materials | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | available on Oracle USA's computer systems through Oracle's customer | | |
| 3 | support websites, in violation of the agreements governing such access, | | |
| 4 | including by using log in credentials of customers with no right or license | | |
| 5 | to the Software and Support Materials taken by Defendants; | | |
| 6 | • breached the agreements governing access to, and use of, the websites | | |
| 7 | and the Software and Support Materials available through it; | | |
| 8 | • lured Oracle USA's and OIC's current and prospective customers by | | |
| 9 | making promotional and marketing statements regarding Defendants' | | |
| 10 | ability to provide support services for Oracle software that were only | | |
| 11 | possible because of Defendants' improper access to, and taking from, | | |
| 12 | Oracle USA's computer systems through Oracle's customer support | | |
| 13 | websites; and, | | |
| 14 | • used information learned through the improper access to, and taking from, | | |
| 15 | Oracle USA's computer systems through Oracle's customer support | | |
| 16 | websites to provide support services to Defendants' customers; | | |
| 17 | • gained unauthorized access to Oracle's software releases through | | |
| 18 | deceptive representations to Oracle USA's and OIC's customers, causing | | |
| 19 | customers to breach their license agreements with Oracle. | | |
| 20 | 126. Defendants' conduct was wrongful by a measure beyond the fact of the | | |
| 21 | interference itself. Defendants gained unauthorized access to Oracle USA's computer systems | | |
| 22 | through Oracle USA's password-protected customer support websites, breached the agreements | | |
| 23 | governing access to, and use of, Oracle's customer support websites and the Software and | | |
| 24 | Support Materials available through it, and wrongfully used the property that they found there to | | |
| 25 | advertise their services, and otherwise obtain and retain Oracle USA's and OIC's current and | | |
| 26 | prospective clients. | | |
| 27 | 127. This conduct, as alleged above, constitutes violations of numerous state | | |
| 28 | and federal statutes and codes, including, but not limited to, violation of the Federal Computer A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 32 | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 33 of 42

| 1 | Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq., unauthorized access to computers, NRS | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | 205.4765, Cal. Penal Code § 502, receipt of stolen property, Cal. Penal Code § 496, wire fraud, | | |
| 3 | 18 U.S.C. § 1343, violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962, fraud and related activity in connection | | |
| 4 | with an access device, 18 U.S.C. § 1029, and violation of the Stored Communications Act, 18 | | |
| 5 | U.S.C. §§ 2701-11. Defendants' conduct also constitutes trespass to chattels, breach of contract, | | |
| 6 | and unjust enrichment. | | |
| 7 | 128. As a result of Defendants' acts, the above-described relationships have | | |
| 8 | been actually disrupted, causing certain current and prospective support clients to contract with | | |
| 9 | Defendants instead of Oracle USA and OIC for their software support and maintenance and, in | | |
| 10 | some cases, for their enterprise software. | | |
| 11 | 129. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Oracle USA and | | |
| 12 | OIC have suffered economic harm, including, but not limited to, loss of profits from sales or | | |
| 13 | licenses to current and potential customers of support services and enterprise software programs. | | |
| 14 | Defendants' wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing this harm. | | |
| 15 | 130. Unless Defendants are restrained by appropriate injunctive relief, their | | |
| 16 | actions are likely to recur and will cause Oracle USA and OIC irreparable injury for which there | | |
| 17 | is no adequate remedy at law. | | |
| 18 | Ninth Claim for Relief | | |
| 19 | Unfair Competition - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 | | |
| 20 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) | | |
| 21 | 131. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of | | |
| 22 | paragraphs 51, 54-60, and 81-130 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 23 | 132. Defendants have engaged in unlawful business acts or practices by | | |
| 24 | committing acts including computer fraud, trespass, breach of contract, and other illegal acts and | | |
| 25 | practices as alleged above, all in an effort to gain unfair competitive advantage over Oracle USA | | |
| 26 | and OIC. | | |
| 27 | 133. These unlawful business acts or practices were committed pursuant to | | |
| 28 | business activity related to providing business applications software and related support and A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 33 | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 34 of 42

1 maintenance for that software.

2 134. The acts and conduct of Defendants constitute fraudulent, unlawful, and
3 unfair competition as defined by California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq*.

4 135. Defendants' conduct constitutes violations of numerous state and federal 5 statutes and codes, including, but not limited to, violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 6 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030 et seq., unauthorized access to computers, NRS 205.4765, Cal. Penal Code § 7 502, wire fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1343, violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962, fraud and related 8 activity in connection with an access device, 18 U.S.C. § 1029, and violation of the Stored 9 Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2701-11. Defendants' conduct also constitutes trespass to 10 chattels and unjust enrichment. 11 As described above, Oracle and OIC have lost money and property and 136.

suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendants' fraudulent, unlawful, and unfair business actsand practices.

14 137. Defendants have improperly and unlawfully taken commercial advantage
15 of Oracle USA and OIC investments in their confidential, proprietary, and copyrighted Software
16 and Support Materials and support delivery infrastructure. In light of Defendants' conduct, it
17 would be inequitable to allow Defendants to retain the benefit of the funds obtained though the
18 unauthorized and unlawful use of that property.

19 138. Defendants' unfair business practices have unjustly minimized Oracle
20 USA and OIC's competitive advantages and have caused and are causing them to suffer
21 damages.

139. As a result of such unfair competition, Oracle USA and OIC have also
suffered irreparable injury and, unless Defendants are enjoined from such unfair competition,
will continue to suffer irreparable injury, whereby Oracle USA and OIC have no adequate
remedy at law.

26 140. Defendants should be compelled to disgorge and/or restore any and all27 revenues, earnings, profits, compensation, and benefits they may have obtained in violation of

28 California Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*, including, but not limited to, returning A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 34

| 1 | any revenue earned from the unlawful and unfair use of Oracle USA and OIC's stolen property, | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | and should be enjoined from further unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices. | | |
| 3 | Tenth Claim for Relief | | |
| 4 | Trespass To Chattels | | |
| 5 | (By Oracle USA Against All Defendants) | | |
| 6 | 141. Oracle USA incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 | | |
| 7 | through 51, 54-60, and 81-140 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 8 | 142. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Oracle USA had legal title or | | |
| 9 | license to and actual possession of Oracle's customer support websites, its access-restricted | | |
| 10 | internet-based support systems and databases, and the copies of the Software and Support | | |
| 11 | Materials on those support systems, as described above. | | |
| 12 | 143. Defendants intentionally interfered with Oracle USA's use or possession | | |
| 13 | of both Oracle's customer support websites and Oracle's related internal databases and systems, | | |
| 14 | and the copies of the Software and Support Materials housed for licensed access through | | |
| 15 | Oracle's customer support websites. | | |
| 16 | 144. Defendants' trespass and interference proximately caused damage to | | |
| 17 | Oracle, including, but not limited to, damage to the functionality of Oracle USA's computer | | |
| 18 | systems and data, damage to Oracle USA's rights to dominion and control over its property, and | | |
| 19 | damage to the confidential nature of the information on Oracle USA's websites. As a result, | | |
| 20 | Defendants caused Oracle USA's property to diminish in value and deprived Oracle USA of the | | |
| 21 | intended uses of its computer systems. | | |
| 22 | 145. Oracle USA is entitled to recover any and all damages it sustained as a | | |
| 23 | result of such trespass, in an amount to be determined at trial. | | |
| 24 | 146. Defendants' trespass interfered with, and damaged, the integrity and | | |
| 25 | functionality of Oracle USA's computer systems and data. Defendants will continue to commit | | |
| 26 | such acts and other competitors will be encouraged to sweep Oracle USA's websites, potentially | | |
| 27 | to the point of denying effective access to customers and preventing Oracle USA from using its | | |
| 28 | systems and data for their intended purpose.Defendants' trespass therefore threatens to causeA/73245022.11/2021039-000033713035 | | |

| 1 | irreparable harm to Oracle USA, for which Oracle USA's remedy at law is not adequate to | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | compensate it for the injuries inflicted and threatened. | | |
| 3 | Eleventh Claim for Relief | | |
| 4 | Unjust Enrichment/Restitution | | |
| 5 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) | | |
| 6 | 147. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of | | |
| 7 | paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-146 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 8 | 148. Defendants unjustly received benefits at the expense of Oracle USA and | | |
| 9 | OIC through Defendants' wrongful conduct, including Defendants' breach of the agreements | | |
| 10 | governing access to and use of Oracle's customer support websites, interference with Oracle | | |
| 11 | USA and OIC's business relationships and other unfair business practices, as well as Defendants' | | |
| 12 | trespass on, and computer fraud concerning the Software and Support Materials, which took | | |
| 13 | substantial time and money for Oracle entities including Oracle USA and OIC to develop. | | |
| 14 | Defendants continue to unjustly retain these benefits at the expense of Oracle USA and OIC. It | | |
| 15 | would be unjust for Defendants to retain any value they obtained as a result of their wrongful | | |
| 16 | conduct. | | |
| 17 | 149. Oracle USA and OIC are entitled to the establishment of a constructive | | |
| 18 | trust consisting of the benefit conferred upon Defendants by the revenues derived from their | | |
| 19 | wrongful conduct at the expense of Oracle entities including Oracle USA and OIC as alleged | | |
| 20 | above, and all profits derived from that wrongful conduct. Oracle USA and OIC are further | | |
| 21 | entitled to full restitution of all amounts in which Defendants have been unjustly enriched at | | |
| 22 | Oracle USA and OIC's expense. | | |
| 23 | Twelfth Claim for Relief | | |
| 24 | Unfair Practices - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17000, et seq. | | |
| 25 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) | | |
| 26 | 150. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of | | |
| 27 | paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-150 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 28 | 151. Defendants have caused Oracle USA and OIC damage through their use ofA/73245022.11/2021039-000033713036 | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 37 of 42

| 1 | unfair practices, including but not limited to: | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | (a) Selling articles or products at less than the cost to Defendants, or | | |
| 3 | charging a price so low as to be in substance or fact a give away, for the purpose of injuring | | |
| 4 | competitors, including specifically Oracle, and destroying competition; | | |
| 5 | (b) Selling articles or products as a loss leader, with the purpose of | | |
| 6 | inducing, promoting or encouraging the purchase of other merchandise, such as additional | | |
| 7 | services from Rimini Street; or where the effect is a tendency or capacity to mislead or deceive | | |
| 8 | purchasers or prospective purchasers, in particular concerning the illegality of Rimini Street's | | |
| 9 | conduct in providing support services; or where the effect is to divert trade from or otherwise | | |
| 10 | injure competitors, including specifically Oracle. | | |
| 11 | 152. Defendant Ravin, as a director, officer or agent of Rimini Street, assisted | | |
| 12 | or aided, directly or indirectly, in the commission of these unfair practices and is equally liable | | |
| 13 | for them. | | |
| 14 | 153. Oracle USA and OIC are entitled to an injunction to halt Defendants' | | |
| 15 | conduct, and to prevent further unfair practices, applicable to all of Defendants' articles or | | |
| 16 | products. Oracle USA and OIC are also entitled to damages, treble damages, reasonable | | |
| 17 | attorneys' fees and costs of suit. | | |
| 18 | Thirteenth Claim for Relief | | |
| 19 | An Accounting | | |
| 20 | (By Oracle USA and OIC Against All Defendants) | | |
| 21 | 154. Oracle USA and OIC incorporate by reference the allegations of | | |
| 22 | paragraphs 1 through 51, 54-60, and 81-153 of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. | | |
| 23 | 155. Defendants have obtained business through the use of unlawful conduct | | |
| 24 | including, but not limited to: | | |
| 25 | (a) Breaching the agreements governing access to or use of Oracle's | | |
| 26 | customer support websites; | | |
| 27 | (b) Improperly, willfully, and unlawfully taking commercial advantage | | |
| 28 | of the investment in its Software and Support Materials by Oracle entities including Oracle USA A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 37 | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 38 of 42

| | and OIC, for the purpose of sabotaging Oracle USA and OIC's ability to do business and | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | compete in the market; and, | | |
| | (c) Fraudulently accessing and intentionally trespassing on Oracle | | |
| | USA's password-protected customer support websites, without authorization or consent, or in | | |
| | excess of authorization or consent, in furtherance of their unlawful and deceptive scheme as | | |
| | described above. | | |
| | 156. Defendants have received money as a result of their misconduct, at the | | |
| | expense of Oracle USA and OIC, and some or all of such money is rightfully due to Oracle USA | | |
| | and OIC. | | |
| | 157. The amount of money due from Defendants to Oracle USA and OIC is | | |
| | unknown to Oracle USA and OIC, and cannot be ascertained without an accounting of the | | |
| income and gross profits Defendants have obtained through their wrongful and unlawful | | | |
| | conduct. Oracle USA and OIC are entitled, therefore, to a full accounting. | | |
| | Prayer For Relief | | |
| | WHEREFORE, Oracle respectfully prays for the following: | | |
| | A. For a preliminary and permanent injunction restraining | | |
| | Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those in active concert | | |
| | or participation with any of them, from the following: | | |
| | (1) Copying ³ , distributing, using, or creating derivative works | | |
| | from Oracle Software and Support Materials in any way, including for any business purpose, | | |
| | except as allowed by express license from Oracle; | | |
| | (2) Copying, distributing or storing, or facilitating copying, | | |
| | distribution or storage of, any Oracle Software and Support Materials directly or indirectly from | | |
| | or to any of Defendants' offices, computer systems or networks; | | |
| | | | |
| | ³ As used in this Prayer, "copying" includes downloading from a website or digital storage media. | | |
| | A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 38 | | |

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 39 of 42

1 (3) Using any robot, crawler, scraper, spider, or other software 2 tool to access, copy, distribute or use any Oracle Software and Support Materials in any way, 3 including for any business purpose; 4 (4) Facilitating the downloading of any Oracle Software and 5 Support Materials from any Oracle support website for, or on behalf of, any customer who does 6 not have a valid, existing and currently-Oracle-supported software license for the specific 7 materials being downloaded from Oracle entitling that customer to have and use those Software 8 and Support Materials; 9 (5) Facilitating the access to, use of, or downloading from any 10 Oracle support website for, or on behalf of, any customer other than by using that specific 11 customer's valid log-in credentials; 12 (6) Facilitating the copying, distribution or use of any Oracle 13 Software and Support Materials for, or on behalf of, any customer who did not have a current, 14 valid, existing software and support license from Oracle entitling that customer to have and use 15 those Software and Support Materials, at the time they were downloaded or obtained by or on 16 behalf of the customer; 17 (7)Regardless of the location of any specific Software and 18 Support Materials, copying, distributing or using Software and Support Materials obtained 19 through or for one customer to support a different customer; 20 (8) Facilitating the copying, distribution or use of, any Oracle 21 Software and Support Materials without keeping a record, which Oracle may inspect upon three 22 (3) business days' written notice, that accurately reflects all Software and Support Materials (a) 23 copied, distributed or used, organized by customer name, (b) the date(s) of the copying, 24 distribution or use, and (c) all other entities involved in the copying, distribution or use, including name of the entity, principal contact, and contact information; and, 25 26 (9) Otherwise engaging in acts of unfair competition, unfair 27 practices, copyright infringement, trespass, and computer fraud against Oracle; 28 B. That the Court order Defendants to file with the Court and serve on A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 39

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 1 Filed 01/25/10 Page 40 of 42

| 1 | Oracle within thirty (30) days after the service on Defendants of such injunction a report in | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendants have | | |
| 3 | complied with the injunction | ; | |
| 4 | C. | For an Order directing Defendants to return Oracle's property, | |
| 5 | including, without limitation, | Oracle's confidential, proprietary, and copyrighted Software and | |
| 6 | Support Materials, including data, internal documents, and valuable updates, patches, fixes, and | | |
| 7 | other computer code, that De | fendants took from Oracle, as set forth in this Complaint; | |
| 8 | D. | For an Order impounding or destroying any and all infringing | |
| 9 | materials pursuant to 17 U.S. | C. § 503; | |
| 10 | E. | For an Order awarding Oracle punitive damages in a sum to be | |
| 11 | determined at trial; | | |
| 12 | F. | For restitution and disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains unjustly | |
| 13 | obtained and retained by Def | endants through the acts complained of here; | |
| 14 | G. | For an Order finding a Constructive Trust for Oracle's benefit, | |
| 15 | consisting of all revenues rec | eived by Defendants from their wrongful conduct which should | |
| 16 | rightfully have been received by Oracle and all profits derived from that wrongful conduct, and | | |
| 17 | directing Defendants to pay a | Il such sums to Oracle; | |
| 18 | Н. | For damages to be proven at trial; | |
| 19 | I. | For those damages to be trebled; | |
| 20 | J. | For statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504; | |
| 21 | К. | For prejudgment interest; | |
| 22 | L. | For an accounting; | |
| 23 | М. | For an Order awarding Oracle its attorneys' fees and costs; and, | |
| 24 | N. | For an Order awarding Oracle such other and further relief as the | |
| 25 | Court deems just and proper. | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 | 40 | |

| 1 | DATED: January 25, 2010 | BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP |
|----|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | By: /s/ Richard J. Pocker |
| | | Richard J. Pocker Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 5 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. and Oracle International Corp. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | DATED: January 25, 2010 | |
| 8 | DATED: January 25, 2010 | BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard |
| 11 | | Geoffrey M. Howard |
| 12 | | Geoffrey M. Howard Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. and Oracle International Corp. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 | 41 |

| 1 | Ī | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2 | In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., and Oracl | | |
| 3 | International Corp. demand a trial | by jury on all issues so triable. | |
| 4 5 | DATED: January 25, 2010 | BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP | |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | | By: <u>/s/ Richard J. Pocker</u> Richard J. Pocker Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. and Oracle International Corp. | |
| 11 12 | DATED: January 25, 2010 | BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP | |
| 13 14 15 | | By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard Geoffrey M. Howard Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc. and Oracle International Corp. | |
| 16 17 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | A/73245022.11/2021039-0000337130 | 42 | |